### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 066 442 TM 001 771

TITLE A School District Testing Program Guide.
INSTITUTION Kansas State Dept. of Education, Topeka.

PUB DATE 71 NOTE 15p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS \*Administrator Guides: Board of Education Policy:

\*Evaluation Techniques; Guidelines; Program

Effectiveness: \*Program Evaluation; Questionnaires;

Research Methodology; School Districts; School

Surveys; Staff Role; State Programs; Test

Construction; \*Testing Programs

IDENTIFIERS Kansas

### ABSTRACT

This guide, designed to help school staff members evaluate their testing programs, may apply to district-wide or state-wide programs. The four sections contain: forms to be completed of current testing inventory; a form covering the organizational and administrative details of the local testing program; an evaluation form dealing with the philosophy, content, strengths and weaknesses, and data utilization of the total testing program; and finally, a list with suggestions for recommendations for improvement. A bibliography is included. (LS)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PER:ON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

### A SCHOOL DISTRICT TESTING PROGRAM GUIDE

A Guide Designed To Help School Staff Members Take A Critical Look At Their Testing Program



Kansas State Department of Education

Kansas State Education Building

120 East 10th Street Topoka, Kansas 66612

Guidance and Pupil Personnel
Services Section
1971

### INTRODUCTION

A survey was conducted in 1970 by this agency to study the status of testing programs in Kansas schools. The principal finding, as shown by that survey, was that local school personnel experience many difficulties in planning system-wide programs of evaluation.

This guide is not presented as a panacea to solve all the problems related to test program evaluation. It is, instead, presented as a series of suggested steps and activities that local faculties may want to follow in planning a study of their school district testing program. From the study and review should come a district-wide testing program that is more in line with meeting the measurement needs of the local school district.



### DIRECTIONS

A copy of this guide should be filled out individually by each member of the testing committee. The fact that an individual member of the committee may not be sufficiently informed about the total program to complete the guide may be significant, in and of itself. Such an occurrence might indicate the need for an orientation program at the local level.

Some of the items in this guide may not be germane to specific situations in given districts. If this is the case, they should be so noted and quickly passed over. A discussion of those items which are applicable will focus the attention of the appropriate staff members on the solution of any existing problems.

Committee discussions of differences in subjective opinions called for in the guide will serve to clarify the thinking of each participant. Likewise, discussions of differences in response to objective items in the guide should stimulate discussion among the committee workers. If this occurs, this guide will have served its purpose.

The guide is divided into four sections. While there may be some overlapping between and among the sections, each section basically deals with a different phase. Combined, they provide the framework for a rather comprehensive study of a district-wide, or in some cases, a school-wide testing program.

A list of selected references that may be helpful to the committee in their self-study has been compiled and listed on the last page of this guide.



3

### SECTION I

### CURRENT TESTING INVENTORY

This section calls for an inventory of the current district testing program. Each committee member should fill it out as completely as possible. The primary purpose of each test listed should also be given on this form.

Please note that the following two pages are sample copies. The first page may be duplicated, as one sheet should be used for each grade level.

The second page is for illustration purposes only.



# SECTION I TESTING INVENTORY

ENTORY

School District
Year of Inventory

Name

| SAMPLE |
|--------|
| SHEET  |
|        |
|        |

| ·  | Grade            | •  |
|--|------------------|--|
| STATE THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF EACH TEST AND THE FOLLOW-UP ACTION TAKEN:  a.  b.  c.  c.  d. | Name of Tests  A |  |
| EACH TEST AND THE  | Туре             | Form   |
| FOLLOW-UP AC   | Adm              | Month  |
| TION TAKEN:  | By Whom          | Month<br>Interp                                    |
|  | To               | Results<br>Accessible<br>Through<br>Interpretation |
|  | Filed)           | Results (Where                                     |

5

## SECTION I TESTING INVENTORY

School District U-604

Year of Inventory 1971

Name Jack Nice

### ILLUSTRATION SHEET

| . (   |                      |                    |              |       |             |                              | T     |
|-------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------|-------------|------------------------------|-------|
| 6     |                      |                    |              |       |             |                              |       |
| 1     | Math Classrooms      | Parents, Students  | Counselor    | April | Prognostic  | D.Algebra Prognostic Test    |       |
|       |                      |                    | April        | :     | 4           | Orleans Hanna                |       |
| 1     | bulldance office     | Students, leachers | Counselor    | Feb.  | Interest    | C.Interest Survey            |       |
|       |                      |                    | March & Apri |       | <b>X</b>    | Ohio Vocational              | ω     |
| ३ स्य | Individual classroom | Students, Parents  | Teacher      | Oct.  | Achievement | B.SRA Assessment Survey      |       |
|       |                      |                    | Nov.         |       | ļ Tī        |                              |       |
| 1     | Guidance Office      | Students, Parents  | Counselor    | Sept. | Aptitude    | A.Dirferential Aptitude Test |       |
| -     | ,                    | Teachers,          | Oct. & Nov.  | •     |             |                              |       |
| ١.    | Filed)               | То                 | By Whom      | Adm   | Type        | Name of Tests                | Grade |
| •     | (Where               | Interpretation     | Qα           | Month |             |                              |       |
|       | Results              | Through            | Interp       |       |             |                              |       |
|       | •                    | Accessible         | Month        |       | Form        |                              |       |
|       |                      | Results            |              |       |             |                              |       |
| f     |                      |                    |              |       |             |                              |       |

STATE THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF EACH TEST AND THE FOLLOW-UP ACTION TAKEN:

a.The counselor, Mrs. James, uses this instrument with each student in identifying specific aptitudes for student

educational and vocational planning.

- b. The curriculum director, Mr. Ames, uses the information from this test to help identify pupils who are exceptionally Mr. Johnson, provides further diagnostic testing in the subject matter areas of reading and arithmetic for the low achieving students. Mr. Riley, provide special enrichment activities for the high achieving students and the special services instructor gifted or unusually poor in their achievements. After identification, the instructional staff: Mrs. Jones and
- c.The vocational teacher, Mr. Bann, uses the information from this instrument in his eighth grade occupations class

for stimulation in career planning activities.

d.Based on the information from this test and previous math grade point averages, Mr. Smith, principal, assigns enrolled first year algebra students to the proper classroom group.

### SECTION II

ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE TESTING PROGRAM

This section calls for each respondent to describe the organizational and administrative details of the local program.



### Section II Organization and Administration of the Testing Program

| Α. | Coo        | rdination and Leadership   | YES                  | NO                                      |
|----|------------|--|----------------------|---|
|    | 1.         | Is there a school district testing program coordinator?  |                      |   |
|    |            | Name:  | •                    |   |
|    | 2.         | Is there an active testing committee? (If the answer to this item is YES, complete items 3, 4, and 5.) |                      | **********                              |
| ,  | 3.         | What grade levels are served by this committee?  |                      |   |
|    | 4.         | Should the number of the people on the committee be expanded or reduced?                               | l                    |   |
|    | 5.         | Do the members of this committee have course work in the area of test and measurements?                |                      |   |
| В. | <u>In-</u> | Service Training Activities Related to Testing   |                      |   |
|    | 1.         | Are in-service training programs in testing provided for faculty members?                              |                      | ***********                             |
|    | 2.         | Ase the programs adequate in number?   |                      |   |
|    | 3.         | Are the programs adequate in quality?  |                      | *****                                   |
| C. | Se1        | ection of Tests  |                      |   |
|    | 1.         | Do those selecting tests have copies of test reviews by authorities in the field?                      |                      | en and a second second second           |
|    | 2.         | Is the total testing program evaluated on a yearly basis?  |                      | <del></del>                             |
| D. | Tes        | t Administration   |                      |   |
|    | 1.         | Are the purposes and importance of each test made clear to the students before the test is taken?      | ***                  | *************************************** |
|    | 2.         | Is the person administering each test always prepared for the task?                                    |                      | -                                       |
|    | 3.         | Is the test administrator provided adequate help for monitoring and supervising students being tested? | Wallengelding at the |   |
|    | 4.         | Is there a pupil-procter ratio that does not exceed 30 to 1 during the testing sessions?               |                      |   |



### Section II Organization and Administration of the Testing Program

| E. | Tes  | ting Facilities   | YES                        | NO                                      |
|----|------|---|----------------------------|---|
|    | 1.   | Are the testing room(s) satisfactory in terms of:   |                            |   |
|    | •    | a. freedom from outside distraction?  | - <del></del>              | *************************************** |
|    |      | b. adequate lighting?   | -                          | ***********                             |
|    |      | c. adequate ventilation?  | **********                 | <del></del>                             |
|    |      | d. work space for each pupil?   | er-meinsche belangte aus   |   |
|    | 2.   | If your school district owns its testing materials, does the school have adequate facilities for storage, and control of these materials? | elektrolom STA-Stangarrana | desperation of                          |
| F. | Cumi | ulative Test Record   |                            |   |
|    | 1.   | Are the test records on the individual pupils accessible to teachers?   |                            |   |
|    | 2.   | Are the test records satisfactory in terms of:  |                            |   |
|    |      | a. being well organized?  |                            |   |
|    |      | b. being up to date?  | termone training and       | *****                                   |
|    |      | c. complete information?  |                            |   |



### SECTION III

### EVALUATION OF THE TESTING PROGRAM

The members of the committee are asked to evaluate the total testing program in this section. Because of the nature of the information sought, it is suggested that this section be completed after the previous sections have been completed. This section deals with the philosophy, content, strengths, weaknesses, and data utilization.



### Section III Evaluation of the Testing Program

| A. | Phi | osophy YES NO  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|    | 1.  | Is there a written statement of the objectives of the testing program?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     | a. If so, attach a copy to this guide.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     | b. Who developed this statement? When?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     | c. If not, how are the objectives interpreted to the staff   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     | members?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | 2.  | Are the objectives of the testing program in harmony with the educational philosophy and objectives of the school?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | 3.  | Does the present testing program meet its Objectives? If not, explain the deficiencies.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| В. | Str | engths and Weaknesses  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     | Explain in terms of tests used, their scope, their appropriate- ness, the administration of the program, coordination of the testing program, the competency of the staff, adequacy of facilities, availability of results to teachers, adequacy of interpretations of test results to students, use of test results by school personnel and any others you care to mention. |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | 1.  | What are the strong points of the testing program?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| <del></del>  |              |           |   | <del></del>                            | <del> </del> |     |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|---|--|--------------|-----|
|              |              |           |   | * ************************************ |              | ··· |
|              |              |           |   |  |              | ·   |
| <del> </del> |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
| √ What a     | ire the weak | nesses of | the testin                              | g program?                             |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           | # · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              | ,            |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |
|              |              |           |   |  |              |     |



### SECTION IV

### · SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENT IN THE TESTING PROGRAM

In this section, the committee members are asked for suggestions and comments relative to the improvement of the testing program. If a weakness is stated, the committee member should offer some constructive recommendations for improvement. After all the reports are in from the committee members, the chairman can then formulate his recommendations.



### SECTION IV. SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENT IN THE TESTING PROGRAM

|     | rovements in the testing program do you recommend? |
|-----|--|
| (1) | Tests used, scope, appropriateness                 |
| (2) | Coordination and management                        |
| (3) | Facilities, administration, scoring                |
| (4) | Accessibility of results                           |
| (5) |  |
| (6) | Interpretation to individual pupils                |
| (7) | Opportunities for in-service staff growth          |
|     | Improvements recommended but not covered above:    |
|     |  |
|     |  |



### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- "At What Time of Year Should Tests be Given?" State Department of Education, Guidance Services Section, Topeka, Kansas.
- Bauernfeind, Robert H. <u>Building a School Testing Program</u>. 1969. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, Massachusetts.
- Polmantier, Paul C. "Guiding Principles for the Development of the Basic High School Testing Program." State Department of Education, Guidance Services Section, Topeka, Kansas.
- Stodola, Quentin and Stordahl, Kalmer. <u>Basic Educational Tests and Measurement</u>. 1967. Science Research Associates, Inc., Chicago, Illinois.
- Thorndike, Robert L. and Hagen, Elizabeth. Measurement and Evaluation in Psychology and Education. 1969. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, New York.

